

critique of violence walter benjamin

Critique of Violence is one of Walter Benjamin's most significant essays, published in 1921. The text delves into the complex relationship between violence, law, and society, providing a critical framework for understanding the nature and role of violence in human affairs. In this essay, Benjamin examines the ways in which violence is used to maintain order, enforce laws, and instigate change. His exploration raises profound questions about the legitimacy of state power, the nature of justice, and the potential for revolutionary action. This article will provide a comprehensive analysis of Benjamin's arguments, contextualizing them within his broader philosophical framework.

Historical Context

To fully grasp Benjamin's critique, it is essential to understand the historical context of his writing. The early 20th century was marked by political upheaval, social unrest, and the aftermath of World War I. The disillusionment with existing social orders prompted thinkers like Benjamin to reevaluate the foundations of authority and governance. Key events influencing his thought include:

1. The Russian Revolution of 1917, which demonstrated the potential for revolutionary violence.
2. The rise of fascism, which employed state violence to suppress dissent.
3. The role of law and order in post-war societies, which often justified violence in the name of stability.

Benjamin's critique emerges from this turbulent backdrop, challenging the dominant narratives of the time.

Key Concepts in Benjamin's Critique

Benjamin's essay centers around several key concepts that reveal his understanding of violence:

The Nature of Violence

Benjamin distinguishes between two forms of violence:

1. **Mythical Violence:** This is the violence that is used to create and maintain law. It is foundational violence that establishes the authority of the state and its legal system. Mythical violence is often arbitrary and

serves to perpetuate existing power structures.

2. Divine Violence: In contrast, divine violence is revolutionary and seeks to disrupt the status quo. It is not merely a reaction to oppression but represents a transformative force that can lead to new forms of justice. Benjamin associates divine violence with the potential for genuine social change.

Violence and Law

One of the central themes of Benjamin's essay is the intimate relationship between violence and law. He argues that:

- Law is Violence: The law is founded upon violence, as it enforces compliance through coercion. This inherent violence raises questions about the legitimacy of legal systems that claim to uphold justice.
- Legal Violence vs. Revolutionary Violence: Legal violence is sanctioned by the state, while revolutionary violence arises from the people. Benjamin suggests that while the former seeks to maintain the status quo, the latter has the potential to dismantle oppressive structures.

The Myth of Neutrality

Benjamin critiques the notion of neutrality in legal systems. He posits that:

- No Law is Neutral: All laws are imbued with the power dynamics of their time. They reflect the interests of those in power rather than serving an objective standard of justice.
- The Illusion of Justice: The idea that laws are impartial often obscures the ways in which they can perpetuate violence and oppression.

Implications of Benjamin's Critique

Benjamin's critique of violence has profound implications for contemporary discussions about justice, authority, and social change.

Revolutionary Potential

One of the most compelling aspects of Benjamin's argument is the potential for revolutionary violence to create new forms of justice. He advocates for:

- Critical Engagement: Individuals must critically engage with the laws and systems that govern them, questioning their legitimacy and the violence that

upholds them.

- Revolutionary Action: Benjamin encourages the pursuit of revolutionary change as a means of achieving true justice, emphasizing that this violence must be directed towards dismantling oppressive systems rather than replicating them.

Ethics of Violence

Benjamin raises important ethical questions regarding the use of violence:

- Legitimacy of Violence: When is violence justified? Benjamin's distinction between mythical and divine violence suggests that not all violence is equal; the context and intent matter significantly.
- Consequences of Violence: While revolutionary violence may aim to create a better society, it can also lead to unintended consequences, perpetuating cycles of violence and oppression.

Criticism and Reception

Walter Benjamin's critique of violence has not been without its critics. Some key points of contention include:

Ambiguity of Concepts

Critics argue that Benjamin's concepts of mythical and divine violence are ambiguous and lack clear definitions. This ambiguity can lead to misinterpretations or misuse of his ideas in justifying violence.

Determinism vs. Agency

Some scholars contend that Benjamin's focus on violence may overlook the role of agency in social change. They argue that non-violent methods can also be effective in achieving justice and that Benjamin's emphasis on violence could inadvertently legitimize harmful actions.

Conclusion

Walter Benjamin's Critique of Violence remains a vital text for understanding the complexities of violence in relation to law and social justice. His exploration of mythical and divine violence challenges readers to reconsider the legitimacy of state power and the ethical implications of revolutionary

action. In a world where violence often seems to be an inescapable reality, Benjamin's insights encourage critical engagement with authority and inspire a quest for genuine justice. As we continue to grapple with issues of power, violence, and resistance, Benjamin's work serves as a crucial touchstone for both philosophical inquiry and practical activism.

Frequently Asked Questions

What is the main thesis of Walter Benjamin's 'Critique of Violence'?

The main thesis of 'Critique of Violence' is the exploration of the relationship between violence, law, and justice, arguing that traditional forms of violence used to uphold the law can never truly achieve justice.

How does Benjamin differentiate between 'divine violence' and 'mythical violence'?

Benjamin distinguishes 'divine violence' as a form of violence that transcends the law and brings about a new order, while 'mythical violence' is tied to the perpetuation of existing power structures and legal systems.

What role does the concept of 'law' play in Benjamin's critique?

In Benjamin's critique, law is depicted as a mechanism that often justifies violence and serves to maintain social order, raising questions about its legitimacy and the morality of violence used in its enforcement.

How does Benjamin view the relationship between violence and history?

Benjamin views violence as a historical force that shapes societal structures, suggesting that understanding this relationship is crucial for critiquing the ways in which violence has been normalized throughout history.

What implications does Benjamin's critique have for contemporary social movements?

Benjamin's critique encourages contemporary social movements to reflect on the use of violence in their struggle for justice, advocating for a transformative approach that seeks to break free from cycles of violent retribution.

In what way does Benjamin's critique challenge traditional notions of justice?

Benjamin challenges traditional notions of justice by asserting that legal systems often perpetuate injustice through violence, necessitating a rethinking of how justice can be achieved beyond these constraints.

What philosophical influences can be seen in Benjamin's 'Critique of Violence'?

Benjamin's work is influenced by various philosophical traditions, including Marxism, existentialism, and Jewish mysticism, which inform his understanding of violence, redemption, and historical materialism.

How has 'Critique of Violence' been received in contemporary political theory?

In contemporary political theory, 'Critique of Violence' has sparked discussions on state violence, the legitimacy of resistance, and the moral implications of using violence for social change, making it a pivotal text in debates about ethics and politics.

[Critique Of Violence Walter Benjamin](#)

Critique Of Violence Walter Benjamin

Related Articles

- [creative memories out of business](#)
- [cuantas preguntas tiene el examen de manejo en florida](#)
- [cub cadet repair manuals](#)

[Back to Home](#)